



22 Watts Street
Wandering WA 6308
Ph: (08) 6828 1800

MINUTES

Shire of Wandering Audit Committee Meeting
9 February 2024

OUR VISION

Wandering is a community of responsible, resilient and adaptable residents thriving in our scenic, economically diverse environment.

These Minutes of the meeting held 9 February 2024 are confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings without amendment. Confirmed by the Presiding Member, Cr I Turton.


.....
Cr Turton Presiding Member

.....
Date

SHIRE OF WANDERING

Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held in the Council Chambers on Friday 9 February 2024.

Contents

1.	Declaration of Opening / Announcements of Visitors	3
2.	Attendance / Apologies / Approved Leave of Absence.....	3
3.	General Business	4
	3.1 Final Management Report 2023 Year.....	4
	3.2 Compliance Audit Return 2023.....	6
5.	Closure of Meeting	7

1. **Declaration of Opening / Announcements of Visitors** The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 9.10am.

2. **Attendance / Apologies / Approved Leave of Absence**

Councillors

Cr Ian Turton (Shire President)
Cr Sheryl Little (Deputy Shire President)
Cr Lou Cowan
Cr Alan Price
Cr Max Watts

Staff

Alan Hart (Chief Executive Officer)

Apologies

Cr Gillian Hansen
Cr Dennis Jennings

Members of the Public

Nil

3. General Business

3.1 Final Management Report 2023 Year

File Reference:	14.145.14512
Location:	N/A
Applicant:	N/A
Author:	Alan Hart-Chief Executive Officer
Authorising Officer	Alan Hart-Chief Executive Officer
Date:	5 February 2024
Disclosure of Interest:	Nil
Confidential Attachments:	Final Management Letter

Summary:

For the committee to consider the final management letter from the Office of the Auditor General in relation to the 2022/23 year.

Background:

The auditor has completed the final audit for the Shire of Wandering and a final management report has been prepared based on their findings. The final management letter is presented to the audit committee for their review.

Comment:

The final audit was undertaken in October 2023 and 3 items were identified during the audit and these are detailed in the final management letter. None of these items has an impact on the audit opinion.

One item in the management letter, being fair value assessment annual review, will have a financial impact on the Shire and these are being costed and Council will consider them as part of the budget process in the 2024/25 financial year.

Consultation:

Council

Statutory Environment:

Local Government Act 1995 Section 7.12(A).

Local Government Audit Regulations 1996 Regulation 16

Local Government Financial Management Regulations 1996

Policy Implications:

Nil

Financial Implications:

Nil

**Strategic Implications:
Improve Our Financial Position**

Our Goals	Our Strategies
The Wandering Shire is financially sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve accountability and transparency• Develop an investment strategy that plans for the future and provides cash backed reserves to meet operational needs• Prudently manage our financial resources to ensure value for money• Reduce reliance on operational grants

Sustainability Implications:

- Environmental: There are no known significant environmental considerations.
- Economic: There are no known significant economic considerations.
- Social: There are no known significant social considerations.

Risk Implications:

As detailed in the Final Management Letter

Voting Requirements:

Simple Majority

010224 Moved: Cr Cowan Seconded: Cr Watts

Officer Recommendation and Council Decision:

That the committee receive the Final Management Letter from the Office of the Auditor General for the year ended 30 June 2023.

Carried 5/0

For: Cr Turton, Cr Little, Cr Cowan, Cr Price, Cr Watts.

Against: Nil

SHIRE OF WANDERING

PERIOD OF AUDIT: YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2023

FINDINGS IDENTIFIED DURING THE FINAL AUDIT

Index of findings	Potential impact on audit opinion	Rating			Prior year finding
		Significant	Moderate	Minor	
1. Fair Value Assessment	No		✓		✓
2. General Journals	No		✓		
3. Business Activity Statement Transactions	No		✓		

Key to ratings

The Ratings in this management letter are based on the audit team's assessment of risks and concerns with respect to the probability and/or consequence of adverse outcomes if action is not taken. We give consideration to these potential adverse outcomes in the context of both quantitative impact (for example financial loss) and qualitative impact (for example inefficiency, non-compliance, poor service to the public or loss of public confidence).

Significant - Those findings where there is potentially a significant risk to the entity should the finding not be addressed by the entity promptly. A significant rating could indicate the need for a modified audit opinion in the current year, or in a subsequent reporting period if not addressed. However even if the issue is not likely to impact the audit opinion, it should be addressed promptly.

Moderate - Those findings which are of sufficient concern to warrant action being taken by the entity as soon as practicable.

Minor - Those findings that are not of primary concern but still warrant action being taken.

SHIRE OF WANDERING

PERIOD OF AUDIT: YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2023

FINDINGS IDENTIFIED DURING THE FINAL AUDIT

1. Fair Value Assessment**Finding**

The Shire has not performed a full assessment to determine whether its land and buildings, and infrastructure recreation and other assets represent fair value. The Shire is required under AASB 13 *Fair Value Measurement* and Regulation 17A(4)(b) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 (the Regulations) to perform an assessment to determine if there are any trigger events requiring a more robust analysis of fair value in financial years where a formal valuation has not been undertaken.

Rating: Moderate (2022: *Significant*)

Implication

Without a robust assessment of fair value of the Shire's land and buildings, and infrastructure assets, there is a risk that the fair value of those assets may not have been assessed adequately and in compliance with AASB 13 *Fair Value Measurement*, as well as Regulation 17A(4)(b) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 (the Regulations).

Recommendation

The Shire should consider implementing as part of the preparation of financial statements each year a formal robust process to determine whether indicators exist annually, that would trigger a requirement to perform a formal revaluation of the Assets. Where indicators exist a robust fair value assessment should be performed capturing the requirements of AASB 13 *Fair Value Measurement*. This process is to ensure that the Shire's infrastructure assets are recorded at fair value in compliance with AASB 13 *Fair Value Measurement* and the Regulations.

This may entail obtaining relevant input from an independent valuer as to whether they consider there are any prevailing market factors which may indicate that the fair value of relevant assets is likely to have been impacted to any significant / material extent from the prior year. Where a fair value assessment has been performed internally, the LG entity may consider having this assessment peer reviewed by an independent valuer to obtain assurance over the valuation methodology applied, inputs and the reasonableness of the valuation model applied.

Management comment

This will be incorporated into the Fair Valuation process for the 2023/24 financial year

Responsible person: Alan Hart-CEO
Completion date: 30/6/24

SHIRE OF WANDERING
PERIOD OF AUDIT: YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2023
FINDINGS IDENTIFIED DURING THE FINAL AUDIT

2. General journals

Finding

We identified the following when testing general journals post interim audit procedures:

- Two journals out of a further sample of 4 had not been signed by the preparer and there was no supporting documentation for the journals; and
- There was no evidence of an independent review for three journals selected for testing.

Rating: Moderate

Implication

If journals are not independently reviewed and approved, there is a risk that erroneous or fraudulent transactions may pass undetected. Accounting journals can represent significant adjustments to previously approved accounting transactions and should therefore be appropriately reviewed and approved.

Recommendation

We recommend general journals be:

- Signed by the preparer of the journal with supporting documentation attached to the general journal raised; and
- Independently reviewed and signed to evidence the review.

Management comment

All Journals are documented in the GL impact report detailing the user that prepared the Journal. This is a Synergysoft System driven Report. They are then stamped using Adobe Stamps by the user that reviewed the journal. To further strengthen our processes, as part of our end of month process, we check all journals completed during the month to ensure they are stamped by an officer to check the journal.

Responsible person: *Adrienne Yzerman-Finance Officer*
Completion date: *30/11/23*

SHIRE OF WANDERING

PERIOD OF AUDIT: YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2023

FINDINGS IDENTIFIED DURING THE FINAL AUDIT

3. Business Activity Statement transactions

Finding

The Business Activity Statement (BAS) for the period ended 30 June 2023 was completed and lodged prior to all transactions for the period being processed into the accounting system.

Rating: Moderate

Implication

Incorrect amount of GST being paid to (received from) the Australian Taxation Office.

Recommendation

We recommend all transactions for the period be processed into the accounting system prior to the lodgement of the BAS.

Management comment

The BAS for June was completed by the statutory timeframe. Transactions continued to be posted at the 30th June after this date. These transactions are automatically reported in the subsequent BAS.

Responsible person: Alan Hart-CEO

Completion date: Date Ongoing

3.2 Compliance Audit Return 2023

File Reference:	04.041.04109
Location:	N/A
Applicant:	N/A
Author:	Alan Hart, Chief Executive Officer
Authorising Officer	Alan Hart, Chief Executive Officer
Date:	21 February 2023
Disclosure of Interest:	Nil
Attachments:	Compliance Audit Return 2022
	Previous Reference: N/A

Summary:

To adopt the Compliance Audit Return in accordance with the *Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996*.

Background:

The Compliance Audit Return process ensures that each Local Government has processes in place that allows Council to monitor how the organisation is functioning. The Compliance Audit Return is one of the tools available to assist Council with this monitoring role.

The *Local Government (Audit) Regulations* require the Compliance Audit Return to be reviewed by the Audit Committee, then presented to Council.

After the Return has been presented to Council a certified copy of the Return along with the relevant section of the Minutes and any additional information is to be submitted to the Department by 31 March 2024.

Comment:

Nothing further.

Consultation:

Nil.

Statutory Environment:

Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996

r14. Compliance audits by local governments

(1) A local government is to carry out a compliance audit for the period 1 January to 31 December in each year.

(2) After carrying out a compliance audit the local government is to prepare a compliance audit return in a form approved by the Minister.

(3A) The local government's audit committee is to review the compliance audit return and is to report to the council the results of that review.

(3) After the audit committee has reported to the council under sub-regulation (3A), the compliance audit return is to be —

(a) presented to the council at a meeting of the council; and

(b) adopted by the council; and

(c) recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which it is adopted.

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Financial Implications:

Nil.

Strategic Implications:

Provide Strong Leadership

Our Goals	Our Strategies
We plan for the future and are strategically focused	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ensure accountable, ethical and best practice governance• Develop and maintain our Strategic Plan, Corporate Business Plan, Asset Management Plan, Workforce Plan and Long Term Financial Plan• Service Level Plans detail operational roles, responsibilities and resources• Engage with local, regional, state and federal stakeholders to grow mutually beneficial relationships

Sustainability Implications:

- Environmental: There are no known significant environmental considerations.
- Economic: There are no known significant economic considerations.
- Social: There are no known significant social considerations.

Risk Implications:

Nil.

Voting Requirements:

Absolute Majority

02024 Moved: Cr Cowan Seconded: Cr Price

Officer Recommendation and Council Decision:

That the Audit Committee recommends to Council that it adopts the attached Compliance Audit Return for the period 1 January to 31 December 2023.

Carried 5/0

For: Cr Turton, Cr Little, Cr Cowan, Cr Price, Cr Watts.

Against: Nil

5. Closure of Meeting

The Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 9.32am.

Compliance Audit Return

Start ✓

Details ✓

Commercial Enterprises ✓

Delegation ✓

Disclosure of Interest ✓

Disposal of Property ✓

Elections ✓

Finance ✓

Integrated Planning and Reporting ✓

Employees ✓

Conduct ✓

Other ✓

Tenders ✓

Documents ✓

Review

Finalise

Details

Local Government

Wandering, Shire of

Created By

Alan Hart

Year of Return

2023

Status

Draft

Commercial Enterprises by Local Governments

1. Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major trading undertaking that was not exempt in 2023? *

N/A

Add comments

—

2. Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major land transaction that was not exempt in 2023? *

N/A

Add comments

—

3. Has the local government prepared a business plan before entering into each land transaction that was preparatory to entry into a major land transaction in 2023? *

N/A

Add comments

—

4. Has the local government complied with public notice and publishing requirements for each proposal to commence a major trading undertaking or enter into a major land transaction or a land transaction that is preparatory to a major land transaction for 2023? *

N/A

Add comments

—

5. During 2023, did the council resolve to proceed with each major land transaction or trading undertaking by absolute majority? *

N/A

Add comments

Delegation of Power/Duty

1. Were all delegations to committees resolved by absolute majority? *

N/A

Add comments

2. Were all delegations to committees in writing? *

N/A

Add comments

3. Were all delegations to committees within the limits specified in section 5.17 of the Local Government Act 1995? *

N/A

Add comments

4. Were all delegations to committees recorded in a register of delegations? *

N/A

Add comments

5. Has council reviewed delegations to its committees in the 2022/2023 financial year? *

N/A

Add comments

6. Did the powers and duties delegated to the CEO exclude those listed in section 5.43 of the Local Government Act 1995? *

Yes

Add comments

7. Were all delegations to the CEO resolved by an absolute majority? *

Yes

Add comments

8. Were all delegations to the CEO in writing? *

Yes

Add comments

9. Were all delegations by the CEO to any employee in writing? *

Yes

Add comments

—

10. Were all decisions by the Council to amend or revoke a delegation made by absolute majority? *

N/A

Add comments

—

11. Has the CEO kept a register of all delegations made under Division 4 of the Act to the CEO and to employees? *

Yes

Add comments

—

12. Were all delegations made under Division 4 of the Act reviewed by the delegator at least once during the 2022/2023 financial year? *

Yes

Add comments

—

13. Did all persons exercising a delegated power or duty under the Act keep, on all occasions, a written record in accordance with Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 19? *

Yes

Add comments

Disclosure of Interest

1. Where a council member disclosed an interest in a matter and did not have participation approval under sections 5.68 or 5.69 of the Local Government Act 1995, did the council member ensure that they did not remain present to participate in discussion or decision making relating to the matter? *

Yes

Add comments

Please enter comments *

21/9/23 Cr Max Watts

2. Were all decisions regarding participation approval, including the extent of participation allowed and, where relevant, the information required by the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 regulation 21A, recorded in the minutes of the relevant council or committee meeting? *

Yes

Add comments

3. Were disclosures under sections 5.65, 5.70 or 5.71A(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the disclosures were made? *

Yes

Add comments

4. Was a primary return in the prescribed form lodged by all relevant persons within three months of their start day? *

Yes

Add comments

5. Was an annual return in the prescribed form lodged by all relevant persons by 31 August 2023? *

Yes

Add comments

6. On receipt of a primary or annual return, did the CEO, or the Mayor/President, give written acknowledgment of having received the return? *

Yes

Add comments

7. Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained the returns lodged under sections 5.75 and 5.76 of the Local Government Act 1995? *

Yes

Add comments

8. Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.65, 5.70, 5.71 and 5.71A of the Local Government Act 1995, in the form prescribed in the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 28? *

Yes

Add comments

9. When a person ceased to be a person required to lodge a return under sections 5.75 and 5.76 of the Local Government Act 1995, did the CEO remove from the register all returns relating to that person? *

Yes

Add comments

—

10. Have all returns removed from the register in accordance with section 5.88(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 been kept for a period of at least five years after the person who lodged the return(s) ceased to be a person required to lodge a return? *

Yes

Add comments

—

11. Did the CEO keep a register of gifts which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.87A and 5.87B of the Local Government Act 1995, in the form prescribed in the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 28A? *

Yes

Add comments

—

12. Did the CEO publish an up-to-date version of the gift register on the local government's website? *

Yes

Add comments

—

13. When people cease to be a person who is required to make a disclosure under section 5.87A or 5.87B of the Local Government Act 1995, did the CEO remove from the register all records relating to those people? *

Yes

Add comments

14. Have copies of all records removed from the register under section 5.89A(6) of the Local Government Act 1995 been kept for a period of at least five years after the person ceases to be a person required to make a disclosure? *

Yes

Add comments

15. Where an employee had an interest in any matter in respect of which the employee provided advice or a report directly to council or a committee, did that person disclose the nature and extent of that interest when giving the advice or report? *

N/A

Add comments

16. Where council applied to the Minister to allow the CEO to provide advice or a report to which a disclosure under section 5.71A(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 relates, did the application include details of the nature of the interest disclosed and any other information required by the Minister for the purposes of the application? *

N/A

Add comments

17. Was any decision made by the Minister under section 5.71B(6) of the Local Government Act 1995, recorded in the minutes of the council meeting at which the decision was considered? *

N/A

Add comments

18. Did the local government prepare and adopt, by absolute majority, a code of conduct to be observed by council members, committee members and candidates that incorporates the model code of conduct? *

Yes

Add comments

19. Did the local government adopt additional requirements in addition to the model code of conduct? *

No

Add comments

20. Has the CEO published an up-to-date version of the code of conduct for council members, committee members and candidates on the local government's website? *

Yes

Add comments

21. Has the CEO prepared and implemented a code of conduct to be observed by employees of the local government? *

Yes

Add comments

21a. Has the CEO published an up-to-date version of the code of conduct for employees on the local government's website? *

Yes

Disposal of Property

1. Where the local government disposed of property other than by public auction or tender, did it dispose of the property in accordance with section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 (unless section 3.58(5) applies)? *

N/A

Add comments

—

2. Where the local government disposed of property under section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995, did it provide details, as prescribed by section 3.58(4) in the required local public notice for each disposal of property? *

N/A

Add comments

—

Elections

1. Did the CEO establish and maintain an electoral gift register and ensure that all disclosure of gifts forms completed by candidates and donors and received by the CEO were placed on the electoral gift register at the time of receipt by the CEO and in a manner that clearly identifies and distinguishes the forms relating to each candidate in accordance with regulation 30G(1) and regulation 30G(2) of the Local Government (Elections) Regulations 1997? *

Yes

Add comments

—

2. Did the CEO remove any disclosure of gifts forms relating to unsuccessful candidates, or successful candidates that completed their term of office, from the electoral gift register, and retain those forms separately for a period of at least two years in accordance with regulation 30G(4) of the Local Government (Elections) Regulations 1997? *

N/A

Add comments

—

3. Did the CEO publish an up-to-date version of the electoral gift register on the local government's official website in accordance with regulation 30G(5) of the Local Government (Elections) Regulations 1997? *

N/A

Add comments

Finance

1. Has the local government established an audit committee and appointed members by absolute majority in accordance with section 7.1A of the Local Government Act 1995? *

Yes

Add comments

2. Where the council delegated to its audit committee any powers or duties under Part 7 of the Local Government Act 1995, did it do so by absolute majority? *

N/A

Add comments

3. Was the auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2023 received by the local government by 31 December 2023? *

Yes

Add comments

4. Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report prepared under section 7.9(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 required action to be taken, did the local government ensure that appropriate action was undertaken in respect of those matters? *

N/A

Add comments

—

5. Where matters identified as significant were reported in the auditor's report, did the local government prepare a report that stated what action the local government had taken or intended to take with respect to each of those matters? Was a copy of the report given to the Minister within three months of the audit report being received by the local government? *

N/A

Add comments

—

6. Within 14 days after the local government gave a report to the Minister under section 7.12A(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1995, did the CEO publish a copy of the report on the local government's official website? *

N/A

Add comments

—

7. Was the auditor's report for the financial year ending 30 June 2023 received by the local government within 30 days of completion of the audit? *

N/A

Add comments

—

Integrated Planning and Reporting

1. Has the local government adopted by absolute majority a strategic community plan? *

Yes

Add comments

Please provide the adoption date or the date of the most recent review *

04/04/2019

2. Has the local government adopted by absolute majority a corporate business plan? *

Yes

Add comments

Please provide the adoption date or the date of the most recent review *

20/05/2021

3. Does the corporate business plan comply with the requirements of Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 19DA(2) & (3)? *

Yes

Add comments

Local Government Employees

1. Were all CEO and/or senior employee vacancies advertised in accordance with Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 18A? *

N/A

Add comments

2. Was all information provided in applications for the position of CEO true and accurate? *

N/A

Add comments

—

3. Was the remuneration and other benefits paid to a CEO on appointment the same remuneration and benefits advertised for the position under section 5.36(4) of the Local Government Act 1995? *

N/A

Add comments

—

4. Did the CEO inform council of each proposal to employ or dismiss senior employee? *

N/A

Add comments

—

5. Where council rejected a CEO's recommendation to employ or dismiss a senior employee, did it inform the CEO of the reasons for doing so? *

N/A

Add comments

—

Official Conduct

1. Has the local government designated an employee to be its complaints officer? *

Yes

Add comments

2. Has the complaints officer for the local government maintained a register of complaints which records all complaints that resulted in a finding under section 5.110(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995? *

Yes

Add comments

Please enter comments *

No complaints received

3. Does the complaints register include all information required by section 5.121(2) of the Local Government Act 1995? *

N/A

Add comments

4. Has the CEO published an up-to-date version of the register of the complaints on the local government's official website? *

Yes

Add comments

Other

1. Did the CEO review the appropriateness and effectiveness of the local government's financial management systems and procedures in accordance with the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 regulations 5(2) (c) within the three financial years prior to 31 December 2023?

Yes

Add comments

Please provide the date of council's resolution to accept the report. *

19/05/2022

2. Did the CEO review the appropriateness and effectiveness of the local government's systems and procedures in relation to risk management, internal control and legislative compliance in accordance with Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 regulation 17 within the three financial years prior to 31 December 2023?

Yes

 **Add comments**

Please provide the date of council's resolution to accept the report. *

19/08/2021

3. Where a disclosure was made under sections 5.87A or 5.87B of the Local Government Act 1995, were the disclosures made within 10 days after receipt of the gift? Did the disclosure include the information required by section 5.87C of the Act?

N/A

 **Add comments**

4. Did the local government prepare, adopt by absolute majority and publish an up-to-date version on the local government's website, a policy dealing with the attendance of council members and the CEO at events?

Yes

 **Add comments**

Please enter comments *

16/03/2023

5. Did the CEO publish information on the local government's website in accordance with sections 5.96A(1), (2), (3), and (4) of the Local Government Act 1995?

Yes

 **Add comments**

6. Did the local government prepare and adopt (by absolute majority) a policy in relation to the continuing professional development of council members?

Yes

Add comments

Please enter comments *

16/03/2023

7. Did the local government prepare a report on the training completed by council members in the 2022/2023 financial year and publish it on the local government's official website by 31 July 2023?

Yes

Add comments

8. By 30 September 2023, did the local government submit to its auditor the balanced accounts and annual financial report for the year ending 30 June 2023?

Yes

Add comments

9. When adopting the annual budget, did the local government take into account all its expenditure, revenue and income?

Yes

Add comments

Tenders for Providing Goods and Services

1. Did the local government comply with its current purchasing policy, adopted under the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, regulations 11A(1) and (3) in relation to the supply of goods or services where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, \$250,000 or less or worth \$250,000 or less? *

Yes

 Add comments

—

2. Subject to Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, regulation 11(2), did the local government invite tenders for all contracts for the supply of goods or services where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, worth more than the consideration stated in regulation 11(1) of the Regulations? *

N/A

 Add comments

Please enter comments *

No tenders advertised in the 2023 year

3. When regulations 11(1), 12(2) or 13 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, required tenders to be publicly invited, did the local government invite tenders via Statewide public notice in accordance with Regulation 14(3) and (4)? *

N/A

 Add comments

—

4. Did the local government comply with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 12 when deciding to enter into multiple contracts rather than a single contract? *

N/A

 Add comments

—

5. If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to tenderers, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought copies of the tender documents or each acceptable tenderer notice of the variation? *

N/A

Add comments

—

6. Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening tenders comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 15 and 16? *

N/A

Add comments

—

7. Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register comply with the requirements of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 17 and did the CEO make the tenders register available for public inspection and publish it on the local government's official website? *

N/A

Add comments

—

8. Did the local government reject any tenders that were not submitted at the place, and within the time, specified in the invitation to tender? *

N/A

Add comments

—

9. Were all tenders that were not rejected assessed by the local government via a written evaluation of the extent to which each tender satisfies the criteria for deciding which tender to accept? *

N/A

Add comments

10. Did the CEO give each tenderer written notice containing particulars of the successful tender or advising that no tender was accepted? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

11. Did the local government's advertising and expression of interest processes comply with the requirements of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulations 21 and 22? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

12. Did the local government reject any expressions of interest that were not submitted at the place, and within the time, specified in the notice or that failed to comply with any other requirement specified in the notice? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

13. Were all expressions of interest that were not rejected under the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 23(1) & (2) assessed by the local government? Did the CEO list each person as an acceptable tenderer? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

14. Did the CEO give each person who submitted an expression of interest a notice in writing of the outcome in accordance with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 24? *

N/A

Add comments

—

15. Did the local government invite applicants for a panel of pre-qualified suppliers via Statewide public notice in accordance with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulations 24AD(4) and 24AE? *

N/A

Add comments

—

16. If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to the panel, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought detailed information about the proposed panel or each person who submitted an application notice of the variation? *

N/A

Add comments

—

17. Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 16, as if the reference in that regulation to a tender were a reference to a pre-qualified supplier panel application? *

N/A

Add comments

—

18. Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register about panels of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 24AG? *

N/A

Add comments

19. Did the local government reject any applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers that were not submitted at the place, and within the time, specified in the invitation for applications? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

20. Were all applications that were not rejected assessed by the local government via a written evaluation of the extent to which each application satisfies the criteria for deciding which application to accept? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

21. Did the CEO send each applicant written notice advising them of the outcome of their application? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

22. Where the local government gave regional price preference, did the local government comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 24E and 24F? *

N/A

 **Add comments**

Documents

There are no notes to display.

Close	Previous	Continue
-------	----------	----------

